

March 13, 2006

To: Larry Acord, James DeWeerd, Dick Everett, Pam Ferguson, Dave Ginley, Harold Heacock, Terry LaLiberte, Robert McLaughlin, Bela Musits, Clark Noble, Julie Rust, Jessica Simpson

From: Ken Anderson, Al Auten, E.B. Fox, Hal Froot, Tom Horak, Pete Hutchison, Karl Jurries, Bill Kirksey, Larry Murton, Terry Reed, Mark Rees, Hank Steiner, Dick Thalacker

Re: Concerns/Questions for the NSP Board

About six weeks ago the Patroller Committee provided detailed requests for the resignation of Mr. Everett and Mr. Ries.

Today we still wonder, how does someone who started their present three year term after already having served the six year term limit remain as our Chairman? Not only has Mr. Everett not resigned, but in the critical transition between the old and new Board, Mr. Everett and Mr. Ries have continued to act inappropriately and waste member money.

Specifically:

- a) Why was a new attorney retained to prepare the costly February 23 submission to the Court without any prior negotiation with the Petitioners?
- b) Why were the three NSP attorneys involved in the drafting and negotiation of the Settlement Agreement (McQuillen, Scarlett, Gassman) NOT consulted prior to the February 23 action to "enforce the Settlement Agreement"?
- c) Why was the February 23 Court action not deferred one week and discussed and voted on by the new Board?
- d) Why was a written offer by Petitioners to resolve the issues rejected out of hand on March 10, without communication with the Board?
- e) Why is member money being spent on the February 23 legal action prior to the NSP bylaw changes taking place on April 16, 2006 when it is clear no court action will take place before then? All attorneys involved in the Settlement Agreement have always stated that the release will be provided when the new bylaws are implemented and the Petitioners have always said they will then dismiss the case.
- f) Why is it taking from the October 2005 Settlement Agreement until April 16, 2006 to change the NSP bylaws?
- g) Why was a substantive Board meeting scheduled on February 27, 2006, risking violation of the Settlement Agreement and Court Order? Why not March 1, 2006 to welcome the new Board?
- h) Why has neither Mr. Everett or Mr. Ries welcomed the new Board members?
- i) Why has the Petitioner's response and Ed Gassman's associated affidavit to the February 23 legal action NOT been provided the Board members? The Petitioner's response is attached because the Patroller Committee believes in sharing information and having the Board make informed decisions.
- j) Why did Mr. Everett violate the NSP bylaws and select a new OEC Supervisor without Board ratification — only asking for it when other Board members and outside counsel requested it?

In the past, answers to questions like the above have either never been received or half truths have been provided to the members. Members are highly frustrated, as it appears our leaders are not accountable for their actions and exempt from any sort of code of conduct.